Guide for Review of ESG Procurement						
Name of Gran	tee:					
Staff Consulted:						
Name(s) of		Date				
Reviewer(s)						

**NOTE:** All questions that address requirements contain the citation for the source of the requirement (statute, regulation, Consolidated Plan/IDIS, or grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, HUD must make a finding of noncompliance. All other questions (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the participant's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but not a **''finding.**"

<u>Instructions</u>: This Exhibit is designed to evaluate an ESG grantee's procurement transactions. It is divided into five sections: Sample Selection; Small Purchase; Sealed Bids; Competitive Proposals; and Noncompetitive Proposals. The HUD reviewer should follow the sampling instructions in Section 9-3 in the introduction to this Chapter and randomly select a sample of the grantee's procurement transactions, pull the procurement files related to the selected transactions and use the files to verify responses to the Exhibit questions.

#### **Questions:**

#### A. SAMPLE SELECTION

1.

Provide the following information for the selected sample of procurement transactions.						
Name of	Date of	Amount	Amount	Amount	Procurement	
Contractor	Purchase	Budgeted	Obligated	Expended	Method*	

<sup>\*</sup> Use the following numbers for these methods of procurement [see 24 CFR 85.36(d)]: (1) Small Purchase; (2) Sealed Bids; (3) Competitive Proposals; and (4) Noncompetitive Proposals.

9-1 09/2005

B. 2.	SMALL PURCHASES				
	Does the grantee document receipt of an adequate number of price or rate quotations from qualified sources for procurements of \$100,000 or less? [24 CFR 85.36(d)(1) and ESG Desk Guide, Section 7.7.6]	Yes	No		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				
	SEALED BIDS				
3.	Does the grantee receive at least two or more sealed bids for each procurement transaction?  [24 CFR 85.36 (d)(2)(i)(B) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No		
4.	Do the procurements lend themselves to firm, fixed price contracts and can selection of successful bidders be made principally on the basis of price?  [24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(i)(C) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	No		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:				

09/2005 9-2

5.			
	Does the grantee publicly advertise Invitations For Bids (IFBs) and were bids		
	solicited from an adequate number of known suppliers, providing them with	Yes	No
	sufficient time before the date set for opening bids?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(A) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
_			
6.	Did the IFBs, including specifications and pertinent attachments, clearly define		
	the items or services needed in order for the bidders to properly respond?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(B) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
7.			
	Are the bids opened publicly at the times and places stated in the IFBs?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(C) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	<u> </u>	
8.			
0.	Are contracts awarded to the lowest responsive and responsible bidders?		
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(2)(ii)(D) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	□ No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	163	NO

9-3 09/2005

# D. COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS

9.			
	Is the competitive proposal procurement method used generally when		
	conditions are not appropriate for the use of sealed bids?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
1.0			
10.			
	Do the Requests for Proposals (RFPs) clearly and accurately state the technical	ΙШ	Ш
	requirements for the goods or services to be procured?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(c)(3)(i) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
11.			
	Are the proposals solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources,		
	consistent with the nature and requirements of the procurement?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(ii) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 9-4

12.			
	Does the grantee publicize the RFPs and honor reasonable requests by parties to		
	compete to the maximum extent practicable?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(i) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
13.			
	Do the RFPs identify all significant evaluation factors, including prices or costs		
	where required, and their relative importance?		ш
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(i) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	-	
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.		
14.			
	Do the records indicate that the grantee conducted technical evaluations of	$\Box$	
	submitted proposals; determined responsible offerors from such evaluations; as	Yes	No
	necessary and, when authorized, conducted negotiations, written or oral, for	res	INO
	final contract award; and made awards to the most responsive and responsible		
	offerors whose proposals will be most advantageous to the grantee after price		
	and other factors are considered?		
	[24 CFR 576.57(b); 24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(iii) and (iv); and 24 CFR 85.36(b)(8)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe Dusis for Conclusion.		

9-5 09/2005

15.				
	If any RFPs involved architectural or engineering professional services,			
	were submissions evaluated with respect to factors other than price, e.g., can	Yes	— No	N/A
	the grantee document the bases for negotiation of fair and reasonable			
	compensation? [24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(v) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]			
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
	Describe Dasis for Conclusion.			
16.				
10.	If RFP involved architectural or engineering professional services, does the			
	grantee maintain a list of qualified bidders who can respond to its RFP?			
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(3)(v) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]	Yes	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

09/2005 9-6

#### E. NONCOMPETITIVE PROPOSALS

17.				
	If the grantee procured services noncompetitively, do the procurement records document that other methods of procurement (small purchase, sealed bids or competitive proposals) were infeasible because the items were available from a single source; OR a public exigency or emergency was of such urgency to not permit a delay resulting from competitive solicitation; OR, after solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate; OR approval was granted by HUD?	Yes	No	N/A
	[24 CFR 85.36(d)(4) and 24 CFR 576.57(b)]  Describe Basis for Conclusion:			
F. 18.	PROCUREMENT ASSURANCES			
	Based upon your review, has the grantee involved, to the maximum extent practicable, homeless persons and families in rehabilitating housing, operating shelters, or providing supportive services?  [24 CFR 576.56(b); McKinney Act, 42 USC 11375(c)(7)]	g	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:			

9-7 09/2005